


**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

Paul David Schmidt,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	CIV-12-462-RAW-KEW
v.	)	
	)	
Janet Dowling,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**OPINION AND ORDER**

Petitioner has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent is hereby ordered to show cause why the writ should not issue by filing within thirty (30) days an answer to the petition, in accordance with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. As an alternative to filing a Rule 5 answer, Respondent may file within thirty (30) days a motion to dismiss based upon 28 U.S.C. § 2244, 28 U.S.C. § 2254, or other applicable statute. *Extensions of time will be granted for good cause only, and in no event for longer than an additional twenty (20) days.* Petitioner may submit a reply to the Respondent's answer or motion within fifteen (15) days after the filing of Respondent's pleading.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 17<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KIMBERLY E. WEST  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE